

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,090	09/12/2003	Housh Khoshbin	3861 P 012	6592
7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
James P. Muraff, Esq.			BROWN, VERNAL U	
WALLENSTEIN WAGNER & ROCKEY, LTD 53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
311 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-6630			2635	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/661,090	KHOSHBIN, HOUSH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vernal U Brown	2635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	September 2003.				
,	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·				
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers .					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to by the one drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is continuous.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/2003.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa  6) Other:	Date  Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2635

# **DETAILED ACTION**

The application of Housh Khoshbin for Method and System for Displaying

Priority Messages on a Wireless Device filed 9/23/2004 has been examined. Claims 1-46

are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, and 15-16, 22, 24, and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hymel U.S Patent 6353382.

Regarding claims 1 and 22, Hymel teaches a method for providing priority messages to a plurality of wireless devices for notifying respective users of the wireless devices of the priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25), the method comprising the steps of: providing for receiving a priority message from an authority (col. 5 lines 20-25); providing for assigning a priority identifier to the priority message (col. 4 lines 54-60); providing for transmitting the priority message to the plurality of wireless devices (figure 1), wherein the priority identifier will cause the priority message to receive priority status once received by the plurality of the wireless devices (col. 5 lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claims 3 and 24, Hymel teaches the address of the wireless device is used to determine the parameters associated with the wireless device and the parameter associated with the device includes the priority settings (col. 2 lines 55-60, col. 4 54-60).

Regarding claims 15-16 and 36-37, Hymel teaches the wireless device a distributed in geographical area (figure 1).

Claim 43-44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burgan et al. U.S Patent 635166.

Regarding claim 43, Burgan et al. teaches a wireless device for notifying a user of the wireless device of a priority message (col. 2 lines 45-55), the wireless device comprising:

a display for displaying a priority message (col. 3 lines 11-15);

a receiver for receiving the priority message, wherein a priority identifier is assigned to the priority message and the identifier will cause the priority message to receive priority status once received by the wireless device (col. 2 lines 49-65).

Regarding claim 44, Burgan et al. teaches displaying the priority message on the display of wireless devices before any other message is displayed (col. 3 lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 46, Burgan et al. teaches displaying automatically displaying the priority message upon the activity of the user (col. 4 lines 19-25, col. 4 lines 38-41).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2635

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 10-12, 23, 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Burgan et al. U.S Patent 6351656.

Regarding claims 2, 10, 23, and 31, Hymel teaches a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25) but is silent on teaching displaying the priority message on the display of wireless devices before any other message is displayed. Burgan et al. in an art related wireless device teaches displaying the priority message on the display of wireless devices before any other message is displayed (col. 3 lines 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to displaying the priority message on the display of wireless devices before any other message is displayed in Hymel as evidenced by Burgan et al. because Hymel suggests a wireless receiving messages base on priority and Burgan et al. teaches a wireless device receiving priority message and displaying the priority message on the display of wireless devices before any other message is displayed in order to inform the user of the device that a priority message was received.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 32-33 Hymel teaches a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25) but is silent on teaching displaying a graphic on the display prior to displaying the priority message on the display of wireless device. Burgan et al. in an art related wireless device teaches displaying the priority

Art Unit: 2635

message on the display of wireless devices (col. 3 lines 11-15) and teaches displaying a graphic (icon) on the display screen (col. 3 line 51-col. 4 line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to displaying a graphic on the display prior to displaying the priority message on the display of wireless device in Hymel as evidenced by Burgan et al. because Hymel suggests a wireless receiving messages base on priority and Burgan et al. teaches a wireless device displaying a graphic (icon) on the display screen so as to inform the user that a priority message has been received.

Claims 4-5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Ong et al. U.S Patent 6515577.

Regarding claims 4-5 and 25, Hymel teaches a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25) but is silent on teaching assigning the priority message to a priority channel within the plurality of wireless devices. Ong et al. in an art related selective call receiver invention teaches assigning the priority message to a priority channel of a wireless device (col. 3 lines 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to assigning the priority message to a priority channel within the wireless device in Hymel as evidenced by Ong et al. because Hymel teaches a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message and Ong et al. teaches assigning messages to priority channel in order to prioritized the transmission and processing of a message.

Art Unit: 2635

Claims 5-8 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Ong et al. U.S Patent 6515577 and further in view of Burgan et al. U.S Patent 6351656.

Regarding claims 5-8 and 26-29, Hymel teaches a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25) but is silent on teaching displaying the priority message received on the priority channel of the of the wireless devices and storing the priority message received on the priority channel in a priority state within the wireless device. Ong et al. in an art related selective call receiver invention teaches assigning the priority message to a priority channel of a wireless device (col. 3 lines 25-29) but is also silent on teaching displaying the priority message received on the priority channel of the of the wireless devices and storing the priority message received on the priority channel in a priority state within the wireless device. Burgan et al. in an art related wireless device teaches displaying the priority message on the display of wireless device (col. 3 lines 11-15) and storing the priority message received on the priority channel in a priority state within the wireless device (col. 2 line 54-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to displaying the priority message received on the priority channel of the of the wireless devices and storing the priority message received on the priority channel in a priority state within the wireless device in Hymel as evidenced by Hymel as evidenced by Ong in view of Burgan et al. because Hymel suggests a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message and Ong et al. teaches priority message to a priority channel of a wireless device. Burgan et al. further teaches displaying the priority message on the display of wireless device and storing the priority message received on the priority channel in a priority state within the

Art Unit: 2635

wireless so that the user of the wireless device can be alerted when a priority message is received and the priority message recall from memory by the user of the wireless device.

Claims 9 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Menard et al. U.S Patent 6671351.

Regarding claims 9 and 30, Hymel teaches a plurality of wireless devices receiving a priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25) but is silent on teaching the authority comprises an advertiser. Marshall et al. in an art related wireless device invention teaches an advertiser transmitting priority messages (col. 8 lines 10-18) in order to enable the timely delivery of information to customers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the authority to comprise an advertiser in Hymel as evidenced by Menard et al. because Hymel teaches transmitting priority messages to a plurality of wireless devices and Marshall et al. teaches an advertiser transmitting priority messages in order to enable the timely delivery of information to customers.

Claims 13-14 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Burgan et al. U.S Patent 6351656 and further in view of Hymel et al. U.S Patent 6157814.

Regarding claims 13-14 and 34-35, Hymel in view of Burgan et al. teaches using graphic to indicate priority messages (see response to claim 12) but is silent on teaching the graphic is an advertising logo and a sponsor message. Hymel et al. in an art related wireless device invention teaches the use of an advertising logo that include a sponsor

Art Unit: 2635

message for indicating a message received at a wireless device (col. 3 lines 7 –12 and col. 3 lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an advertising logo and a sponsor message to indicate a priority message in a wireless device in Hymel in view of Burgan et al. as evidenced by Hymel et al. because Hymel in view of Burgan et al. suggests using graphic to indicate priority messages and Hymel et al. teaches the use of an advertising logo that include a sponsor message for indicating a message received at a wireless device and further providing instant recognition of the source of the page.

Claim 17, 19-21, 38, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Seeger US Patent Application Publication 2003/0022684.

Regarding claims 17, 19-21, 38, and 40-42, Hymel teaches a wireless device receiving a priority message (col. 5 lines 10-25) but is silent on teaching the priority message is received from an "Amber Alert" system. Seeger in an art related Device for Warning notification teaches a wireless device receiving a priority message from an Amber Alert system regarding abductee (paragraph 0059).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the wireless device to receive a priority message from an Amber Alert system in Hymel as evidenced by Seeger because Hymel suggests a wireless device receiving a priority message and Seeger teaches wireless device receiving a priority message from an Amber Alert system so as to alert a person of an emergency situation.

Art Unit: 2635

Claims 18 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hymel U.S Patent 6353382 in view of Seeger US Patent Application Publication 2003/0022684 and further in view of in view of Burgan et al. U.S Patent 6351656.

Regarding claims 18 and 39, Hymel in view of Seeger teaches a wireless device

receiving a priority message from an Amber Alert system (see response to claim 17) but is silent on teaching receiving a graphic from the Amber Alert system.

Burgan et al. in an art related wireless device teaches displaying the priority message on the display of wireless devices (col. 3 lines 11-15) and teaches displaying a graphic (icon) on the display screen (col. 3 line 51-col. 4 line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to displaying a graphic on the display prior to displaying the priority message on the display of wireless device in Hymel as evidenced by Burgan et al. because Hymel suggests a wireless receiving messages base on priority and Burgan et al. teaches a wireless device displaying a graphic (icon) on the display screen so as to inform the user that a priority message has been received.

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgan et al. U.S Patent 6351656 in view of Ong et al. U.S Patent 6515577.

Regarding claim 45, Hymel teaches a wireless device receiving a priority message (col. 3 lines 11-15) but is silent on teaching assigning the priority message to a priority channel within the plurality of wireless devices. Ong et al. in an art related selective call receiver invention teaches assigning the priority message to a priority channel of a wireless device (col. 3 lines 25-29).

Art Unit: 2635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to assigning the priority message to a priority channel within the wireless device in Burgan et al. as evidenced by Ong et al. because Burgan et al. suggests a wireless devices receiving a priority message and Ong et al. teaches assigning messages to priority channel in order to prioritized the transmission and processing of a message.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vernal Brown

September 29, 2004

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600